

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,980	12/27/2000	Elaine Lee	8600-0010	6822
7590 08/19/2005 ROBINS AND PASTERNAK LLP 1731 EMBARCADERO ROAD, SUITE 230			EXAMINER	
			BAXTER, JESSICA R	
PALO ALTO, CA 94303		230	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		·	3731	
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Tach
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/749,980	LEE, ELAINE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jessica R. Baxter	3731
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror to, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). ed, may reduce any
Status		7.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ected.  or election requirement.  er.  cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	Examiner. ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form P1O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica hity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summai Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/749,980 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 7, 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,660,873 to Nikolaychik et al.

Nikolaychik discloses a composition consisting of a vaso-occlusive member and a bioactive material of fibrin and Factor XIII (Column 5, lines 21-33). Nikolaychik discloses the claimed invention except for the vaso-occlusive member being a coil or a filter. Nikolaychik discloses that the device may be a prosthetic device or non-prosthetic device that is implanted (Column 4 lines 19-31). These devices are coated with fibrin coating in order to promote healing after implantation into the vascular system. Coils and filters are well-known devices that are implanted into the vascular system. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a coil or a filter as the vaso-occlusive member since these are devices that are well known in the art to be implanted into the vascular system.

3. Claims 1, 7, 8, 9, 10, 19, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,690,666 to Berenstein et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,414,976 to Schwarz et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/749,980

Berenstein discloses a vaso-occlusive coil that is used with a tissue adhesive (Column 3 lines 14-24). Schwarz teaches that tissue adhesive for use in vascular surgery may be made with Factor XIII, plasminogen activator inhibitor or plasmin inhibitor in order to stimulate wound healing (Column 1 lines 37-44). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Berenstein with the tissue adhesive of Schwarz in order to promote healing.

- 4. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nikolaychik et al. '873, as applied above, further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,231,590 to Slaikeu et al.
  - Nikolaychik, as modified, discloses the claimed invention except for the member being plasma-treated. Slaikeu teaches that devices are plasma treated in order to attract platelets and thrombogenic proteins to the device (Column 4 lines 57-65). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Nikolaychik, as modified, with a plasma treatment in order to attract platelets and thrombogenic proteins and thus promote healing at the implantation site.
- 5. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nikolaychik et al. '873, as applied above, further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,891,192 to Murayama et al.

Nikolaychik, as modified, discloses the claimed invention except for the vasoocclusive member being subjected to ion-implantation. Murayama teaches that ion
implantation is used to alter the surface properties, such as thrombogenicity and endothelial
cellular migration and adhesion, of the device (Column 3 lines 21-29). It would have been
obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide
the device of Nikolaychik, as modified, with ion-implantation in order to alter the
thrombogenicity and endothelial cellular migration and adhesion.

6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nikolaychik et al. '873, as applied above, further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,526,979 to Nikolchev et al.

Nikolaychik, as modified, discloses the claimed invention except for the vasoocclusive member being microtextured. Nikolchev discloses that an occlusive member is
microtextured in order to promote tissue ingrowth and enhance the occlusion of the vessel
(Column 14 lines 9-37). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at
the time the invention was made to provide the device of Nikolaychik, as modified, with the
microtexturing of Nikolchev in order to enhance tissue ingrowth and occlude the vessel.

## Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 26 May 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. Berenstein et al. '666 in view of Schwarz et al. '976

Applicant argues that Berenstein cannot be combined with Schwarz since there is no suggestion to combine. The examiner maintains that one would be motivated to combine Schwarz with Berenstein, since Berenstein uses tissue adhesives (see abstract) and Schwarz teaches a tissue adhesive that is used in the vascular system to stimulate healing (Column 2 line 64-Column 3 line 7).

9. Berenstein et al. '666 in view of WO/27455 to Boock et al.

It is noted that this rejection of claim 31 was withdrawn after the 8 October 2003

Office Action. The rejection was withdrawn in the action mailed 28 April 2004. It appears that the rejection was withdrawn because the claim (31) was withdrawn. After reviewing the

Election of 02 April 2004, the status of claim 31 should be withdrawn. Therefore, this rejection is withdrawn only because the status of this claim is withdrawn.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica R. Baxter whose telephone number is 571-272-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jessica R Baxter Examiner Art Unit 3731

nu Ojrb

> EDUARDO C. ROBERT PRIMARY EXAMINER